



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/009,444	05/09/2002	Karsten Meyer-Grafe	(H) 01PH0389USP	1549	
7590 12/08/2005			EXAMINER		
M Robert Kestenbaum 11011 Bermuda Dunes NE			CONTINO, PAUL F		
Albuquerque, NM 87111			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2114		

DATE MAILED: 12/08/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/009,444	MEYER-GRAFE ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Paul Contino	2114	

	Paul Contino	2114	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>21 November 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THI	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION	FOR ALLOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the follop places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No. (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods:</li> </ol>	wing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	affidavit, or other evidence with 37 (	ence, which CFR 41.31; or
a) $\square$ The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date of			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of	of the final rejection.	
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)		->	anaian faa bassa
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened states above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month- pearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	n fee under 37 as set forth in (b)
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com	pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must b	e filed within two mon	ths of the date
of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)	)), to avoid dismissal (	of the appeal.
AMENDMENTS	h	-6ill mak ba amkanad	<b>.</b>
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further co</li> </ol>			because
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		7 · L 50.0 · · /,	•
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	•	reducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) $igcap$ They present additional claims without canceling a		ejected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		Compliant Amendment	t (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		. 4:	4
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	illowable if submitted in a separati	e, timely filed amendin	nent canceling
7. Solution for the followable claim(s): 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		will be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-24</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under app	eal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or atta	ched.
11. $\square$ The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	ance because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	r No(s)	

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendments require further search and consideration.

Application/Control Number: 10/009,444

Art Unit: 2114

**ADVISORY ACTION** 

Claim Objections

1. Claim 22 is objected to because of the following informalities: Line 3 states "the output

data" and "the input section", and line 8 states "the temporarily stored data". There is

insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the claim. Examiner interprets "the output

data" as being an inherent part of a bus unit; "the input section" of the other bus unit as being the

only input section for the other bus unit; and "the temporarily stored data" as being the output

data stored as disclosed in the buffer in line 7. Appropriate correction is required.

2. Claim 10 is objected to because of the following informalities: Lines 1-2 state "the

agreement". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Appropriate

correction is required.

3. Claim 24 is objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 24 has been

currently amended to include dependence only to claim 22, rather than retaining the original

claim's dependence on claims 23 or 24. The Applicant has failed to provide the text "Currently

Amended" preceding the claim limitations (see 37 CFR 1.121(c).).

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/009,444

Art Unit: 2114

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claim 22 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for

failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as

the invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

5. Claims 1-4, 6-7, 9, 12-21, and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated

by Eastvold et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,745,268).

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/009,444 Page 4

Art Unit: 2114

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 5 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Eastvold et al. in view of Dawson (U.S. Patent No. 5,390,188).

\* \* \*

7. Claims 8, 10, and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Eastvold et al. in view of Cawley (U.S. Patent No. 5,361,334).

\* \* \*

8. Claim 24 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Eastvold et al. in view of Dawson, further in view of Cawley.

Application/Control Number: 10/009,444

Art Unit: 2114

Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Paul Contino whose telephone number is (571) 272-3657. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:30 am - 5:00 pm, first Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Scott Baderman can be reached on (571) 272-3644. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

**PFC** 

12/2/2005

SCOTT BADERMAN

Page 5

PRIMARY EXAMINER